

To: Judge N.K. Laughrey,

MAILED ON:
SAT., OCTOBER 28, 2017

From: Michael G. Postawko, #533578, at ERDCC

IN RE.: IF IT WERE POSSIBLE I'D SWEAR UNDER OATH
AND PENALTIES OF PERJURY OF ALL APPLICABLE LAWS, AND
I DO SO SWEAR THAT EVERYTHING IN THIS LETTER IS
TRUE AND ACCURATE, SO HELP ME - CONCERNING THE
COURTS "AMENDED SCHEDULING AND JURY TRIAL ORDER",
DOC. 162, DATED SEPT. 29, 2017.

I RECEIVED THIS ORDER SENT FROM CATHERINE
ZOLICKER ON FRIDAY OCTOBER 20, 2017. CONCERNING
DISCOVERY ISSUES, SOME ARE ALREADY PAST THEIR DEAD-
LINES - SOME, AND OR THE OTHERS ARE DUE BY NOV. 7,
2017. I DID NOT RECEIVE THE COURT'S ORDER, DOC.
166, UNTIL FRIDAY AFTERNOON (LATE) OCTOBER 27, 2017

INFORMING ME THAT I AM NOW AND SUDDENLY RESPONS-
IBLE FOR MY CASE INCLUDING DATES. ON OCTOBER 22, 2017
I SENT A LETTER TO THIS COURT OUTLINING SEVERAL
ISSUES ABOUT HEALTH, AND AD SEG., AND ETC.; FOR THE
REASONS SET FORTH IN SAID LETTER PLUS THE ISSUE I
NOW ADD TO THAT LIST I AM PLACED IN AN ABSOLUTE
AND CERTAIN, IMPOSSIBLE SITUATION AND THERE IS NO WAY
CONCEIVABLE THAT I CAN/COULD MEET ALL THESE DEADLINES
THAT I DID NOT KNOW EVEN EXISTED (i.e. LACK OF CONSTRUCTED
KNOWLEDGE) TIL ONE WEEK AGO, AND NOT MADE AWARE
TIL YESTERDAY THAT I'D BE RESPONSIBLE FOR MEETING
THESE DEADLINES. IT WOULD BE VERY DIFFICULT INDEED
FOR EVEN THE BEST OF ATTORNEYS TO MEET THESE WHILE
IN THE SAME DIRE STRAITS I PREVIOUSLY SET FORTH TO

THIS COURT IN THE ABOVE CITED LETTER.

FURTHERMORE, ALL OF THE DATES AND OTHER DATA

THIS COURT HAS REQUIRED IN IT'S ORDER FOR ANY PER-

* IN ORDER TO REQUEST AN EXTENSION

SON TO SUPPLY (ORIGINAL DATES, NO. OF PREVIOUS EXTEN-

SIONS AND ETC.)* IS INFORMATION THAT FOR THE MOST

PART I DO NOT HAVE, NOR HAVE EVER HAD. YET ANOTHER

IMPOSSIBLE SITUATION DUMPED ON ME AT THE VERY MOST

INOPPORTUNE OF TIMES. SO VERY INOPERTUNE, AND SUCH

CRITICAL AS TO THE TIMING OF SO MANY DIFFERENT

THINGS HAPPENING IN SUCH A SHORT TIME SPAN JUST DAYS

BEFORE CRITICAL DEADLINES; ONE COULD EASILY THINK

SUCH EVENTS WERE CAREFULLY CRAFTED TO "PLAYOUT"

IN SUCH FASHION AS TO DESTROY ANY HOPE MY CASE

MAY HAVE HAD TO PREVAIL. FURTHER YET, C. ZOLICKER

JUST 4 DAYS AFTER THE DEADLINE FOR EXPERT WITNESS DESIGNATIONS FILED A MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL, WITHOUT ANY FORE-WARNING TO ME. WHY DID SHE NOT FILE AN EXTENSION BEFORE THIS DEADLINE EXPIRED? WHY DID SHE NOT EVEN NAME A TENTATIVE EXPERT BEFORE THIS DEADLINE EXPIRED? THAT IS A VERY, VERY "ROOKIE" MISTAKE TO MAKE IN A CASE THAT SO MUCH CASELAW, EVEN SUPREME COURT CASES SPECIFICALLY CITE THE NEED FOR EXPERT TESTIMONY IN A CASE INVOLVING MEDICAL AND OR SCIENCE MATTERS, AND THIS CASE HAS BOTH. SUPPORTING CASES ARE HELLING V. MCKINNEY, BEARD V. BANKS, DO NOT HAVE THESE CITATIONS AVAILABLE CURRENTLY BOTH ARS S.Ct. CASES. INDEED, AND IN FACT MRS. ZOLICKER COULD NOT HAVE PICKED A MORE DANDY TIME TO QUIT MY CASE EVEN IF

SHE WERE SINGLE-HANDEDLY TRYING TO DESTROY ~~THE~~ MP.
ANY HOPES MY CASE MAY HAVE HAD. PLAINTIFF ENTERS HIS
OBJECTIONS TO POLSINELLI, PC. PULLING OUT OF THE
CASE AT SUCH CRITICALLY IMPORTANT TIMING. I ASK
THE COURT TO RECONSIDER GRANTING POLSINELLI, PC
THE RIGHT TO QUIT AT SUCH A CRITICAL JUNCTURE.
THEY [POLSINELLI PC] SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO SEE THE
CASE THROUGH "ALL" DISCOVERY ISSUES AND THEN THEY
COULD HAVE REQUESTED TO WITHDRAW, BECAUSE OF
THE VERY CLOSE PROXIMITY OF SO MANY DISCOVERY DEAD-
LINES, AND THE PLAINTIFF'S SEVERAL SERIOUS AND
EXTRAORDINARY ISSUES HE IS CURRENTLY SUBJECTED
TO ALL AT ONCE AS DESCRIBED IN THE PREVIOUSLY
CITED LETTER OF OCT. 22, 2017 TO THIS COURT.

FOR MRS. ZOLICKER TO KNOWINGLY LET THE
DEADLINE EXPIRE WITHOUT NAMING AN EXPERT AND THEN
FOUR DAYS AFTER THE DEADLINE ~~FILE~~ FILE A MOTION TO
WITHDRAW IS COMPLETELY UNETHICAL AND UNPROFESS-
IONAL. HER AND I SPOKE AT LENGTH SEVERAL TIMES
ON THIS ISSUE, SO SHE OBVIOUSLY REALIZED IT IS A KEY
ISSUE IN THIS CASE. I HAVE WORKED VERY HARD ON
MY CASE, IF I WERE EVER WRONG ON ANY POINT OF
LAW OR DID NOT FOLLOW PROPER FORM AND OR PRO-
TOCOL, IT WAS ONLY FOR MY LACK OF TRAINING.
NEITHER MY CASE OR MYSELF HAVE EVER DONE
ANYTHING TO DESERVE THIS MANNER OF TREATMENT.
THE DEFENDANTS AND MRS. ZOLICKER HAVE ADDED MOUNTAINS
OF BURDEN TO AN ALREADY CUMBERSOME, LARGE PILE OF STRESS!

Michael G. Postawko, # 533578
ERDCC
2727 HWY. K
Bonne Terre, Mo. 63628

This correspondence is from an inmate in the custody of the Missouri Department of Corrections. The Department is not responsible for the content of this correspondence. For information about the Department or to verify information about the offender, please visit our website at www.doc.mo.gov.

SAINT LOUIS MO 630
21 OCT 2017 14:31
RECEIVED
LEGAL MAIL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
CHARLES EVANS WHITTAKER COURTHOUSE
400 EAST 10TH STREET
KANSAS CITY, MO.
RM. 1510 = attn: JUDGE N.K. LAUGHREY
KANSAS CITY, MO.
64106

64106-260799

